

LEV INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CONSULTING
ROBERT G. LEV
PATENT ATTORNEY
4766 Michigan Boulevard
Youngstown, Ohio 44505

TELEPHONE (330) 759-1423
FACSIMILE (330) 759-4865

Date: 9/14/01

Facsimile No. :

To:

*Hamner Todd Ware
Art Unit 1615*

From:

Robert G. Lev

Re:

*Serial Number 09/524,928 for Patent directed to
"Selected Mixture for Animal Feed"*

No. OF PAGES (including cover sheet): 2

COMMENTS AND/OR SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

SHOULD ANY DIFFICULTIES BE INCURRED WITH THE RECEIPT OF THIS
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION (IN WHOLE OR IN PART), PLEASE CONTACT THE
SENDER AT THE ABOVE TELEPHONE NUMBER FOR RETRANSMISSION

The information contained in this facsimile communication is
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

And is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. Any
dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication or any portion of it by
anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If this communication is
received in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, and return the original
message to us at the above address via Postal Service.

That a total of 32 deer were observed, with 29 of them displaying clear preference for the mock scrapes using "Doc's Double Doe" formula as claimed in the present patent application. The preference was manifested by the buck's use of the mock scrape, including activities such as further scraping, urinating, defecating, or just staying next to the scrape to look for the source of the deer urine.

That the observations for both test plots over the eight day period, including 32 total hours of observation, broke down as follows :

- (a) The test sites baited with tap water showed only approximately 4% usage by the deer;
- (b) The test sites baited with single doe urine showed only approximately 11% usage;
- (c) The test sites baited with urine from three or more animals showed only approximately 14% usage; and,
- (d) The test sites baited with the "Doc's Double Doe" formulation of the present invention showed approximately 91% usage.

That the test sites using "Doc's Double Doe" formula appeared to be utilized nearly all of the time while the other test sites seemed to be used only if a more dominant buck was already utilizing the test site baited with "Doc's Double Doe"